arXiv:1302.5947v2 [math.AC] 26 Feb 2013

ON VERTEX DECOMPOSABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES AND THEIR ALEXANDER DUALS

SOMAYEH MORADI AND FAHIMEH KHOSH-AHANG

Abstract. In this paper we define the concept of vertex splittable ideal and show that a simplicial complex Δ is vertex decomposable if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is a vertex splittable ideal. As the main result, we prove that any vertex splittable ideal has a Betti splitting and explain the graded Betti numbers of such ideals with a recursive formula. As some corollaries, we give recursive formulas for the regularity and projective dimension of R/I_Δ, when Δ is a vertex decomposable simplicial complex and show that $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta) = \mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta)$. Moreover, for a vertex decomposable graph G, we give recursive formulas for the graded Betti numbers of its vertex cover ideal. In special cases, we explain this formula, when G is chordal or a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph. Finally, among the other things, we show that an edge ideal of a graph is vertex splittable if and only if it has linear resolution.

Introduction

There is a natural correspondence between square-free monomial ideals of the polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (over the field k) and simplicial complexes with vertex set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ via Stanley-Reisner correspondence. Hence, finding connections between algebraic properties of a square-free monomial ideal I and combinatorial invariants of the Stanley-Reisner simplicial complex Δ_I associated to I is of great interest and recently has been studied by many researchers (see for example [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [20], [24]). The edge ideal was first considered by Villarreal [22] for graphs and then extended to hypergraphs, which can cover all square-free monomial ideals. Zheng in [24] described regularity and projective dimension for tree graphs and showed that for a tree graph G, $\mathrm{reg}(R/I(G)) = c_G$, where c_G is the maximum number of pairwise 3-disjoint edges in G (two edges xy and zw of G are called 3-disjoint if the induced subgraph of G on the vertex set $\{x, y, z, w\}$ has only two edges). In [7] and [20] this description of regularity has been extended to chordal graphs and shellable bipartite graphs, respectively. Also, Kimura in [11] extended the characterization of projective dimension in [24] to chordal graphs and showed that, when G is a chordal graph, $\mathrm{pd}(R/I(G)) = \mathrm{bight}(I(G))$. In [12], C_5-free vertex decomposable graphs has been studied and it is shown that for a C_5-free vertex decomposable graph G, $\mathrm{reg}(R/I(G)) = c_G$ and $\mathrm{pd}(R/I(G)) = \mathrm{bight}(I(G))$. For generalizing these results to simplicial complexes, in this note, by employing the concept of duality, we study $\mathrm{reg}(R/I_\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta)$ for a vertex decomposable simplicial complex Δ.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D02, 13P10; Secondary 16E05.

Key words and phrases. Alexander dual, Betti splitting, edge ideal, projective dimension, regularity, vertex decomposable.

Finding Alexander dual concepts and translating an invariant of a monomial ideal I in the Alexander dual simplicial complex associated to I is also one of the main problems in combinatorial commutative algebra. Eagon and Reiner in [3] proved that a simplicial complex Δ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ has a linear resolution. Theorem 1.4 in [9] stipulates that Δ is shellable if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ has linear quotients and in [10], it was proved that Δ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is componentwise linear.

Inspired by the above results on dual concepts, in this paper, we introduce the notion of vertex splittable ideal, which we show that it is the dual concept for vertex decomposability and then we achieve some nice properties of such ideals. By exploiting this dual concept, we study the minimal free resolution of vertex cover ideal of vertex decomposable graphs.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we recall some definitions and theorems that we use in the sequel. In Section 2, first we define a vertex splittable ideal which in Theorem 2.3, we show that Δ is a vertex decomposable simplicial complex if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is a vertex splittable ideal. Theorem 2.4 illustrates that vertex splittable ideals have linear quotients. So, one may deduce that a vertex splittable ideal generated by monomials in the same degrees has a linear resolution. As a main result, in Theorem 2.7, we prove that a vertex splittable ideal has a Betti splitting and we present a recursive formula for its graded Betti numbers (see Remark 2.8). As some corollaries, we show that for a vertex decomposable simplicial complex Δ, the regularity and projective dimension of I_Δ can be computed by an inductive formula and $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta)$ is equal to the big hight of I_Δ. In Section 2, we give applications for Theorem 2.7 to vertex cover ideal of a vertex decomposable graph. For instance, in Theorem 3.1 the graded Betti numbers of vertex cover ideal of such graphs are explained by a recursive formula. For two families of vertex decomposable graphs, the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs and chordal graphs, this formula has been stated more precisely. Another application is Proposition 3.6 which states that if G is a chordal graph, then $I(G^c)$ is a vertex splittable ideal. By using this fact and the characterization of Fröberg for edge ideals of graphs with linear resolution, we show that an edge ideal $I(G)$ is vertex splittable if and only if $I(G)$ has a linear resolution. In Theorem 3.9, we show that for a flag complex Δ_G, the Alexander dual simplicial complex $\Delta_G^\vee$ is vertex decomposable if and only if it is Cohen-Macaulay and these conditions hold if and only if G^c is a chordal graph.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, Δ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set X, k is a field, $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the ring of polynomials with n variables and I is a monomial ideal of R.

In this section, we are going to recall some preliminaries which are needed in the sequel. We begin by definition of vertex decomposable simplicial complex. Vertex decomposability is introduced by Provan and Billera in [17] in the pure case and extended to the non-pure case by Björner and Wachs in [1] and [2].

Definition 1.1. A simplicial complex Δ is defined to be **vertex decomposable** if Δ is a simplex, or Δ contains a vertex x such that

(i) both $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$ are vertex decomposable, and
(ii) any facet of $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ is a facet of Δ.

A vertex x which satisfies only in condition (ii) is called a **shedding vertex** of Δ.

Remark 1.2. It is easily seen that x is a shedding vertex if and only if no facet of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$ is a facet of $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$.

Of particular interest, are the following invariants of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded R-module M. The **Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity** (or simply regularity) of M is defined as

$$\mathrm{reg}(M) := \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0\},$$

and the **projective dimension** of M is defined as

$$\mathrm{pd}(M) := \max\{i \mid \beta_{i,j}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j\},$$

where $\beta_{i,j}(M)$ is the (i, j)-th graded Betti number of M.

The notion of Betti splitting is introduced in [5] as a useful tool to study Betti numbers of more monomial ideals.

Definition 1.3. *(See* [5, Definition 1.1]*.)* Let I, J, and K be monomial ideals such that $\mathcal{G}(I)$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{G}(J)$ and $\mathcal{G}(K)$. Then $I = J + K$ is a **Betti splitting** if

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(J) + \beta_{i,j}(K) + \beta_{i-1,j}(J \cap K),$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and degrees j.

When $I = J + K$ is a Betti splitting, important homological invariants of I are related to those invariants of the smaller ideals.

Theorem 1.4. *(See* [5, Corollary 2.2]*.) Let $I = J + K$ be a Betti splitting. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{reg}(I) = \max\{\mathrm{reg}(J), \mathrm{reg}(K), \mathrm{reg}(J \cap K) - 1\}$, *and*
(ii) $\mathrm{pd}(I) = \max\{\mathrm{pd}(J), \mathrm{pd}(K), \mathrm{pd}(J \cap K) + 1\}$.

For a monomial ideal $I = (x_{11} \cdots x_{1n_1}, \ldots, x_{t1} \cdots x_{tn_t})$ of R, the **Alexander dual ideal** of I, denoted by $I^\vee$, is defined as

$$I^\vee := (x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1n_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (x_{t1}, \ldots, x_{tn_t}).$$

The **Alexander dual simplicial complex** associated to Δ is defined as

$$\Delta^\vee = \{X \setminus F : \ F \notin \Delta\}.$$

Let Δ be the Stanley-Reisner simplicial complex associated to I, i.e. $I = I_\Delta$. One can see that,

$$I_\Delta^\vee = (x^{F^c} \ : \ F \text{ is a facet of } \Delta)$$

and

$$I_\Delta = \bigcap (P_{F^c} \ : \ F \text{ is a facet of } \Delta),$$

where $P_{F^c} = (x_i \ : \ x_i \in F^c)$. The **big height** of I_Δ, denoted by $\mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta)$, is defined as the maximum height among the minimal prime divisors of I_Δ. In fact

$$\mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta) = \max\{|F^c| \ : \ F \text{ is a facet of } \Delta\}.$$

The following theorem, which was proved in [19], is one of our main tools in the study of the projective dimension and regularity of the ring R/I_Δ.

Theorem 1.5. *(See* [19, Theorem 2.1]*.) Let I be a square-free monomial ideal. Then* $\mathrm{pd}(I^\vee) = \mathrm{reg}(R/I)$.

Definition 1.6. A monomial ideal I has **linear quotients** if for some ordering $f_1, \dots, f_m$ of the minimal generators of I, the colon ideal $(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}) : (f_i)$ is generated by a subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We show this ordering by $f_1 < \dots < f_m$.

Also for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\mathrm{set}_I(f_i)$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{set}_I(f_i) = \{x_k : \ x_k \in (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}) : (f_i)\}.$$

A monomial ideal I generated by elements of degree d is said to have a **linear resolution** if $\beta_{i,j}(I) = 0$ for all $j \neq i + d$. Linear quotients is a strong tool to determine some classes of ideals with linear resolution. The main tool in this way is the following lemma.

Lemma 1.7. *(See* [4, Lemma 5.2].*) If $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is a monomial ideal of R that has linear quotients and all f_is have the same degree, then I has a linear resolution.*

2. Vertex decomposability and vertex splittable ideals

As was mentioned in the introduction, in this section we are going to characterize the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the Alexander dual associated to a vertex decomposable simplicial complex. To this end, we introduce the concept of vertex splittable ideal. Then we present some properties of such ideals such as Betti splitting and having linear quotients and show that a simplicial complex Δ is vertex decomposable if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is a vertex splittable ideal. This gives us information about the homological invariants of $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ such as Betti numbers. As a corollary we give a recursive formula for $\mathrm{reg}(R/I_\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta)$ for a vertex decomposable simplicial complex Δ, which may be useful in turn.

Definition 2.1. A monomial ideal I of R is called **vertex splittable** if it can be obtained by the following recursive procedure:

(i) If u is a monomial and $I = (u)$, then I is a vertex splittable ideal.
(ii) If there is a variable $x \in X$ and vertex splittable ideals I_1 and I_2 of $k[X \setminus \{x\}]$ so that $I = xI_1 + I_2$ and $I_2 \subseteq I_1$, then I is a vertex splittable ideal.

With the above notations if $I = xI_1 + I_2$ is a vertex splittable ideal, then $xI_1 + I_2$ is called a vertex splitting for I.

Francisco, Ha and Van Tuyl in [5] defined the concept of x-partition for a monomial ideal, when $x \in X$. For a monomial ideal I, if J is the ideal generated by all elements of $\mathcal{G}(I)$ divisible by x, and K is the ideal generated by all other elements of $\mathcal{G}(I)$, then $I = J + K$ is called an x-partition. If $I = J + K$ is also a Betti splitting, they call $I = J + K$ an x-splitting. In this regard, every vertex splitting is an x-partition for some variable $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the set X, $x \in X$ be a shedding vertex of Δ, $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_2 = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$. Then*

$$I_{\Delta^\vee} = xI_{\Delta_1^\vee} + I_{\Delta_2^\vee} \text{ and } I_{\Delta_2^\vee} \subseteq I_{\Delta_1^\vee}.$$

Proof. Let $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be the set of all facets of Δ and $X' = X \setminus \{x\}$. We have $I_{\Delta^\vee} = (x^{X \setminus F_1}, \dots, x^{X \setminus F_m})$. Without loss of generality assume that $F_1, \dots, F_k$ $(k \leq m)$ are all the facets of Δ which contain x. Since x is a shedding vertex of Δ, then $\Delta_1 = \langle F_{k+1}, \dots, F_m \rangle$. Also $\Delta_2 = \langle F_1 \setminus \{x\}, \dots, F_k \setminus \{x\} \rangle$. Therefore $I_{\Delta_1^\vee} =$

$(x^{X'\setminus F_{k+1}},\dots,x^{X'\setminus F_m})$ and any generator of $I_{\Delta_2^\vee}$ is of the form $x^{X'\setminus(F_i\setminus\{x\})}=x^{X\setminus F_i}$ for any $1\le i\le k$. So $I_{\Delta_2^\vee}=(x^{X\setminus F_1},\dots,x^{X\setminus F_k})$. Thus $I_{\Delta^\vee}=xI_{\Delta_1^\vee}+I_{\Delta_2^\vee}$.

To prove the last assertion, let $x^{X'\setminus(F_i\setminus\{x\})}$ be a generator of $I_{\Delta_2^\vee}$ for some $1\le i\le k$. Since $F_i\setminus\{x\}$ is not a facet of $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$, there is a facet F_j of $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ such that $F_i\setminus\{x\}\subseteq F_j$. Hence $x^{X'\setminus(F_i\setminus\{x\})}\in(x^{X'\setminus F_j})\subseteq I_{\Delta_1^\vee}$ which insures that $I_{\Delta_2^\vee}\subseteq I_{\Delta_1^\vee}$. □

The following theorem is one of our main results of this paper which characterizes $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ explicitly, when Δ is a vertex decomposable simplicial complex.

Theorem 2.3. *A simplicial complex Δ is vertex decomposable if and only if $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is a vertex splittable ideal.*

Proof. Assume that Δ is a vertex decomposable simplicial complex with vertex set X. We use induction on $n=|X|$. If $n=1$, then $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ is clearly vertex splittable. Suppose inductively that the result has been proved for smaller values of n. In view of Lemma 2.2, we have $I_{\Delta^\vee}=xI_{\Delta_1^\vee}+I_{\Delta_2^\vee}$ and $I_{\Delta_2^\vee}\subseteq I_{\Delta_1^\vee}$, where x is a shedding vertex of Δ, $\Delta_1=\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_2=\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$. Since Δ_1 and Δ_2 are vertex decomposable simplicial complexes on $X\setminus\{x\}$, inductive hypothesis implies $I_{\Delta_1^\vee}$ and $I_{\Delta_2^\vee}$ are vertex splittable ideals of $k[X\setminus\{x\}]$. This completes the *only if* part.

To prove the *if* part, let $\Delta=\langle F_1,\dots,F_m\rangle$. Then $I_{\Delta^\vee}=(x^{X\setminus F_1},\dots,x^{X\setminus F_m})$. If $I_{\Delta^\vee}=(u)$ for some monomial u, then Δ is a simplex which is vertex decomposable. Otherwise, there is a variable $x\in X$ and vertex splittable ideals I_1 and I_2 of $k[X\setminus\{x\}]$ so that $I_{\Delta^\vee}=xI_1+I_2$, $I_2\subseteq I_1$. Suppose inductively that the result is true any splittable ideal in $k[X']$ with $|X'|<|X|$. We show that x is a shedding vertex of Δ, $I_1=I_{\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)^\vee}$ and $I_2=I_{\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)^\vee}$. Without loss of generality assume that $F_1,\dots,F_k$ $(k\le m)$ are all the facets of Δ which contain x. Therefore, if we set $X'=X\setminus\{x\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\Delta^\vee}&=(x^{X\setminus F_1},\dots,x^{X\setminus F_k})+(x^{X\setminus F_{k+1}},\dots,x^{X\setminus F_m})\\&=(x^{X'\setminus(F_1\setminus\{x\})},\dots,x^{X'\setminus(F_k\setminus\{x\})})+x(x^{X'\setminus F_{k+1}},\dots,x^{X'\setminus F_m}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $I_1=(x^{X'\setminus F_{k+1}},\dots,x^{X'\setminus F_m})$ and $I_2=(x^{X'\setminus(F_1\setminus\{x\})},\dots,x^{X'\setminus(F_k\setminus\{x\})})$. Since $I_2\subseteq I_1$, one can see that for any $1\le i\le k$, there is an integer $k+1\le j\le m$ such that $F_i\setminus\{x\}\subseteq F_j$. This insures that $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)=\langle F_{k+1},\dots,F_m\rangle$ and $I_1=I_{\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)^\vee}$. Also, clearly $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)=\langle F_1\setminus\{x\},\dots,F_k\setminus\{x\}\rangle$, $I_2=I_{\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)^\vee}$ and every facet of $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ is a facet of Δ. By induction hypothesis $\mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$ are vertex decomposable. Hence, Δ is vertex decomposable as desired. □

Theorem 2.4. *Any vertex splittable ideal has linear quotients.*

Proof. Let I be a vertex splittable ideal of the ring R. If $I=(u)$ for some monomial u, then clearly I has linear quotients. Otherwise, there is a variable $x\in X$ and vertex splittable ideals I_1 and I_2 of $k[X\setminus\{x\}]$ such that $I=xI_1+I_2$ and $I_2\subseteq I_1$. By induction on $n=|X|$ we prove the assertion. For $n=1$ the result is clear. By induction assume that for any set X' with $|X'|<n$, any splittable ideal of the ring $k[X']$ has linear quotients. Thus I_1 and I_2 have linear quotients. Let $f_1<\cdots<f_r$ and $g_1<\cdots<g_s$ be the order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I_1 and I_2, respectively. We claim that the ordering $xf_1<\cdots<xf_r<g_1<\cdots<g_s$ is an order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I. For any $1\le i\le r$, the ideal $(xf_1,\dots,xf_{i-1}):(xf_i)=(f_1,\dots,f_{i-1}):(f_i)$ is generated by variables

by assumption. For an integer $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}) : (g_i) = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t})$ and consider the ideal $(xf_1, \dots, xf_r, g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}) : (g_i)$. We know that x divides any generator of the colon ideal $(xf_j) : (g_i)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq r$. Since $I_2 \subseteq I_1$, there is an integer $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ such that $g_i \in (f_\ell)$. Therefore $(xf_\ell) : (g_i) = (x)$. It means that $(xf_1, \dots, xf_r, g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}) : (g_i) = (x, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t})$. Thus I has linear quotients. $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.7 and Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. *Let I be a vertex splittable ideal generated by monomials in the same degrees. Then I has linear resolution.*

The following result, which is a special case of Corollary 2.7 in [18], is our main tool to prove Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 2.6. *(See [18, Corollary 2.7].) Let I be a monomial ideal with linear quotients with the ordering $f_1 < \cdots < f_m$ on the minimal generators of I. Then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \sum_{\deg(f_t)=j-i} \binom{|set_I(f_t)|}{i}.$$

Now, we are ready to bring another main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $I = xI_1 + I_2$ be a vertex splitting for the monomial ideal I. Then $I = xI_1 + I_2$ is a Betti splitting.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, I, I_1 and I_2 have linear quotients. Let $f_1 < \cdots < f_r$ and $g_1 < \cdots < g_s$ be the order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I_1 and I_2, respectively. As it was shown in the proof of Theorem 2.4 the ordering $xf_1 < \cdots < xf_r < g_1 < \cdots < g_s$ is an order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I, $\text{set}_I(xf_t) = \text{set}_{I_1}(f_t)$ for any $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $\text{set}_I(g_k) = \{x\} \cup \text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)$ for any $1 \leq k \leq s$. By Theorem 2.6,

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \sum_{\deg(f_t)=j-i-1} \binom{|\text{set}_I(xf_t)|}{i} + \sum_{\deg(g_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_I(g_k)|}{i}.$$

Thus

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \sum_{\deg(f_t)=j-i-1} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_1}(f_t)|}{i} + \sum_{\deg(g_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|+1}{i}.$$

By applying the equality

$$\binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|+1}{i} = \binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|}{i} + \binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|}{i-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\deg(g_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|+1}{i} &= \sum_{\deg(g_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|}{i} + \sum_{\deg(g_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_2}(g_k)|}{i-1} \\ &= \beta_{i,j}(I_2) + \beta_{i-1,j-1}(I_2).\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\sum_{\deg(f_t)=j-i-1} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_1}(f_t)|}{i} = \beta_{i,j-1}(I_1).$$

Therefore

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j-1}(I_1) + \beta_{i,j}(I_2) + \beta_{i-1,j-1}(I_2).$$

Moreover $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ implies that $xI_1 \cap I_2 = xI_2$. Using this equality and the equalities $\beta_{i,j-1}(I_1) = \beta_{i,j}(xI_1)$ and $\beta_{i-1,j-1}(I_2) = \beta_{i-1,j}(xI_2)$, yields to

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(xI_1) + \beta_{i,j}(I_2) + \beta_{i-1,j}(xI_1 \cap I_2),$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 2.8. From the proof of Theorem 2.7, one can see that for a vertex splittable ideal I with vertex splitting $I = xI_1 + I_2$, the graded Betti numbers of I can be computed by the following recursive formula

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j-1}(I_1) + \beta_{i,j}(I_2) + \beta_{i-1,j-1}(I_2).$$

In the following corollary, the recursive formula is written for the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the Alexander dual of a vertex decomposable simplicial complex.

Corollary 2.9. *Let Δ be a vertex decomposable simplicial complex, x a shedding vertex of Δ, $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_2 = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$. Then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(I_{\Delta^\vee}) = \beta_{i,j-1}(I_{\Delta_1^\vee}) + \beta_{i,j}(I_{\Delta_2^\vee}) + \beta_{i-1,j-1}(I_{\Delta_2^\vee}).$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorems 2.3 and 2.7. □

So, we have the following corollary which presents some inductive formula for the regularity and projective dimension of the ring R/I_Δ. Note that the inductive formula for $\mathrm{reg}(R/I_\Delta)$ was proved in [8] by a different approach.

Corollary 2.10. *Let Δ be a vertex decomposable simplicial complex, x a shedding vertex of Δ and $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_2 = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$. Then*
(i) $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta) = \max\{\mathrm{pd}(R/I_{\Delta_1}) + 1, \mathrm{pd}(R/I_{\Delta_2})\}$,
(ii) (Compare [8, Theorem 4.2]*.)* $\mathrm{reg}(R/I_\Delta) = \max\{\mathrm{reg}(R/I_{\Delta_1}), \mathrm{reg}(R/I_{\Delta_2}) + 1\}$.

Proof. Corollary 2.9 in conjunction with the equalities $\mathrm{pd}(I_{\Delta^\vee}) = \mathrm{reg}(R/I_\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{reg}(I_{\Delta^\vee}) = \mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta)$ and Theorem 1.4, implies the result. □

We end this section by the following result which gives a characterization of the projective dimension of the Stanley-Reisner ring of a vertex decomposable simplicial complex, in some sense.

Theorem 2.11. *Let Δ be a vertex decomposable simplicial complex. Then*

$$\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta) = \mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n = |X|$. For $n = 1$, it is clear that $I_\Delta = 0$ and $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta) = \mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta) = 0$. Assume that $n > 1$, $x \in X$ is a shedding vertex of Δ, $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_2 = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(x)$. Let $\{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be the set of all facets of Δ, $X' = X \setminus \{x\}$ and $C_i = X \setminus F_i$ for each $1 \le i \le m$. Without loss of generality assume that $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ are all the facets of Δ which contain x. Thus $\Delta_1 = \langle F_{k+1}, \ldots, F_m \rangle$ and $\Delta_2 = \langle F_1 \setminus \{x\}, \ldots, F_k \setminus \{x\} \rangle$. From the equality $I_\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$, one can see that $\mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta) = \max\{|C_i| : 1 \le i \le m\}$. Similarly

$$\mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta_1}) = \max\{|X' \setminus F_i| : k+1 \le i \le m\} = \max\{|C_i| - 1 : k+1 \le i \le m\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta_2}) = \max\{|X' \setminus (F_i \setminus \{x\})| : 1 \le i \le k\} = \max\{|C_i| : 1 \le i \le k\}.$$

By induction hypothesis

$$\mathrm{pd}(R/I_{\Delta_1}) = \mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta_1}) = \max\{|C_i| - 1 : k+1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{pd}(R/I_{\Delta_2}) = \mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta_2}) = \max\{|C_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Thus by Corollary 2.10,

$$\mathrm{pd}(R/I_{\Delta}) = \max\{\mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta_1})+1, \mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta_2})\} = \max\{|C_i| : 1 \leq i \leq m\} = \mathrm{bight}(I_{\Delta}).$$

□

Remark 2.12. Morey and Villarreal in [16, Corollary 3.33] proved that the equality $\mathrm{pd}(R/I_\Delta) = \mathrm{bight}(I_\Delta)$ holds for any sequentially Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex Δ.

3. APPLICATIONS TO VERTEX COVER IDEAL OF A VERTEX DECOMPOSABLE GRAPH

This section devoted to some applications of gained recursive formulas in previous section to some special classes of graphs. The following is one of our main results which is a consequence of Corollary 2.9.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a vertex decomposable simplicial complex, $v \in V(G)$ be a shedding vertex of G, $G' = G \setminus \{v\}$, $G'' = G \setminus N_G[v]$ and $\deg_G(v) = t$. Then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(I(G)^\vee) = \beta_{i,j-1}(I(G')^\vee) + \beta_{i,j-t}(I(G'')^\vee) + \beta_{i-1,j-t-1}(I(G'')^\vee).$$

Proof. Let $\Delta = \Delta_G$, $\Delta_1 = \mathrm{del}_\Delta(v)$, $\Delta_2 = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ and $N_G(v) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$. Then $I_{\Delta_G^\vee} = I(G)^\vee$, $I_{\Delta_1^\vee} = I(G')^\vee$ and $I_{\Delta_2^\vee} = x_1 \cdots x_t I(G'')^\vee$. By using the equality $\beta_{i,j}(x_1 \cdots x_t I(G'')^\vee) = \beta_{i,j-t}(I(G'')^\vee)$, the result is clear from Corollary 2.9. □

By exploiting the following lemma, we state the recursive formula for graded Betti numbers of vertex cover ideal of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs which recovers [5, Theorem 3.8] and vertex cover ideal of chordal graphs in Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5.

Lemma 3.2. *(See* [23, Lemma 6]*.) Let G be a graph and $x, y \in V(G)$. If $N_G[x] \subseteq N_G[y]$, then y is a shedding vertex for G.*

Van Tuyl and Villarreal in [21] gave a recursive characterization for a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *(See* [21, Corollary 3.11]*.) Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if there are adjacent vertices x and y with $\deg_G(x) = 1$ such that bipartite graphs $G' = G \setminus N_G[x]$ and $G'' = G \setminus N_G[y]$ are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Using this fact we can explain the formula for Betti numbers as follows.

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph, $x, y \in V(G)$ be adjacent vertices with $\deg_G(x) = 1$ such that $G' = G \setminus N_G[x]$ and $G'' = G \setminus N_G[y]$ are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $\deg_G(y) = t$. Then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(I(G)^\vee) = \beta_{i,j-1}(I(G')^\vee) + \beta_{i,j-t}(I(G'')^\vee) + \beta_{i-1,j-t-1}(I(G'')^\vee).$$

Proof. From [20, Theorem 2.10], we know that any sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph G is vertex decomposable. Since $N_G[x] \subseteq N_G[y]$, by Lemma 3.2, y is a shedding vertex for G. Now the result is clear by Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $I(G')^{\vee} = I(G \setminus \{y\})^{\vee}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a chordal graph with simplicial vertex x and $y \in N_G(x)$ with $\deg_G(y) = t$. Let $G' = G \setminus \{y\}$ and $G'' = G \setminus N_G[y]$. Then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(I(G)^{\vee}) = \beta_{i,j-1}(I(G')^{\vee}) + \beta_{i,j-t}(I(G'')^{\vee}) + \beta_{i-1,j-t-1}(I(G'')^{\vee}).$$

Proof. By [23, Corollary 7] any chordal graph is vertex decomposable. Since x is a simplicial vertex, for any $y \in N_G(x)$, we have $N_G[x] \subseteq N_G[y]$. Thus by Lemma 3.2, y is a shedding vertex for G. Now apply Theorem 3.1. $\square$

The following proposition investigates another property of chordal graphs.

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a chordal graph. Then $I(G^c)$ is a vertex splittable ideal.*

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $|V(G)|$. Let $x \in V(G)$ be a simplicial vertex of G and $V(G) = \{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. If G is a complete graph, the result is clear. Assume that G is not a complete graph and without loss of generality let $N_G(x) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ for some $1 \leq k < n$ and $G_0 = G \setminus \{x\}$. Then $I(G^c) = x(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n) + I(G_0^c)$. Moreover, for any distinct i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, since $\{x_i, x_j\} \in E(G)$, then $x_i x_j \notin I(G_0^c)$. So $I(G_0^c) \subseteq (x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n)$. Since G_0 is chordal, by induction hypothesis $I(G_0^c)$ is vertex splittable. Also it is easy to see that any ideal which is generated by variables is a vertex splittable ideal. Thus $(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ is vertex splittable. So $I(G^c)$ is a vertex splittable ideal as desired. $\square$

Edge ideals of graphs with linear resolution were characterized in [6] as follows.

Theorem 3.7. *(See [6, Theorem 1].) For a graph G, the edge ideal $I(G)$ has linear resolution if and only if G^c is a chordal graph.*

By using Theorem 3.7, Propostion 3.6 and Corollary 2.5, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.8. *For a graph G, the edge ideal $I(G)$ is vertex splittable if and only if $I(G)$ has linear resolution.*

Mohammadi in [13] has proved that for a chordal graph G, $\Delta(G)^{\vee}$ is vertex decomposable, where $\Delta(G)$ is the clique complex of G. By means of Proposition 3.6, we are able to give another proof of this result.

Theorem 3.9. *For a graph G the followings are equivalent.*

(i) *G^c is chordal,*
(ii) *$\Delta_G^{\vee}$ is vertex decomposable,*
(iii) *$\Delta_G^{\vee}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. *(i)* ⇒ *(ii)* By Proposition 3.6, $I(G)$ is vertex splittable. Noting the fact that $I_{\Delta_G} = I(G)$, Theorem 2.3 implies that $\Delta_G^{\vee}$ is vertex decomposable.

(ii) ⇒ *(iii)* Since $\Delta_G^{\vee} = \langle V(G) \setminus \{x, y\} : \{x, y\} \in E(G) \rangle$, it is a pure vertex decomposable simplicial complex and then it is Cohen-Macaulay.

(iii) ⇒ *(i)* By [3, Theorem 3], $I_{\Delta_G} = I(G)$ has linear resolution. So by Theorem 3.7, G^c is a chordal graph. $\square$

References

1. A. Björner; M. L. Wachs, *Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. I.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), no. 4, 1299–1327.
2. A. Björner; M. L. Wachs, *Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 10, 3945–3975.
3. J. A. Eagon; V. Reiner, *Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 130 (1998), no. 3, 265–275.
4. S. Faridi, *Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 190 (2004), no. 1-3, 121–136.
5. C. A. Francisco; H. T. Hà; A. Van Tuyl, *Splittings of monomial ideals.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 10, 3271–3282.
6. R. Fröberg, *On Stanley-Reisner rings.* Topics in Algebra 26 (1990).
7. H. T. Hà; A. Van Tuyl, *Monomial ideals, edge ideals of hypergraphs, and their graded Betti numbers.* J. Algebraic Combin. 27 (2008), no. 2, 215–245.
8. H. T. Hà; R. Woodroofe, *Results on the regularity of square-free monomial ideals.* preprint, arXiv:math.AC/1301.6779v1.
9. J. Herzog; T. Hibi; X. Zheng, *Dirac's theorem on chordal graphs and Alexander duality.* European J. Combin. 25 (2004), no. 7, 949–960.
10. J. Herzog; T. Hibi, *Componentwise linear ideals.* Nagoya Math. J. 153 (1999), 141–153.
11. K. Kimura, *Non-vanishingness of Betti numbers of edge ideals.* Harmony of Grbner bases and the modern industrial society, 153–168, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.
12. F. Khosh-Ahang; S. Moradi, *Regularity and projective dimension of edge ideal of C_5-free vertex decomposable graphs.* To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
13. F. Mohammadi, *Powers of the vertex cover ideal of a chordal graph.* Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), no. 10, 3753–3764.
14. F. Mohammadi; S. Moradi, *Resolution of unmixed bipartite graphs.* preprint, arXiv:math.AC/0901.3015v1.
15. S. Moradi; D. Kiani, *Bounds for the regularity of edge ideal of vertex decomposable and shellable graphs.* Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 36 (2010), no. 2, 267–277.
16. S. Morey; R. H. Villarreal, *Edge ideals: algebraic and combinatorial properties.* Progress in Commutative Algebra, Combinatorics and Homology, Vol. 1 (C. Francisco, L. C. Klingler, S. Sather-Wagstaff and J. C. Vassilev, Eds.), De Gruyter, Berlin, 2012, pp. 85–126.
17. J. S. Provan; L. J. Billera, *Decompositions of simplicial complexes related to diameters of convex polyhedra.* Math. Oper. Res. 5 (1980), no. 4, 576–594.
18. L. Sharifan; M. Varbaro, *Graded Betti numbers of ideals with linear quotients.* Le Matematiche (Catania) 63 (2008), no. 2, 257–265.
19. N. Terai, *Alexander duality theorem and Stanley-Reisner rings. Free resolutions of coordinate rings of projective varieties and related topics* (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1998). Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku no. 1078 (1999), 174–184.
20. A. Van Tuyl, *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs: vertex decomposability and regularity.* Arch. Math. (Basel) 93 (2009), no. 5, 451–459.
21. A. Van Tuyl; R. Villarreal *Shellable graphs and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 115 (2008), no. 5, 799-814.
22. R. H. Villarreal, *Cohen-Macaulay graphs.* Manuscripta Math. 66 (1990), no. 3, 277–293.
23. R. Woodroofe, *Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 10, 3235–3246.
24. X. Zheng, *Resolutions of facet ideals.* Comm. Algebra 32 (2004), no. 6, 2301–2324.

Somayeh Moradi, Department of Mathematics, Ilam University, P.O.Box 69315-516, Ilam, Iran and School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O.Box: 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail address: `somayeh.moradi1@gmail.com`

Fahimeh Khosh-Ahang, Department of Mathematics, Ilam University, P.O.Box 69315-516, Ilam, Iran.

E-mail address: `fahime_khosh@yahoo.com`